



Peter A, Leone,MD Professor of Medicine University of North Carolina Medical Director North Carolina HIV/STD Prevention and Care Branch



# Acute Retroviral Syndrome

- 40-90% of new HIV infections are symptomatic
- Signs and symptoms typically begin 1-4 weeks following the exposure
- Symptoms can last from days to several weeks, but usually <14 days</p>

Pilcher C et al. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1873-1883 Kahn JO, Walker BD. N Engl J Med. 1998;339:33-39 Schacker T, et al. Ann Intern Med. 1996;125:<u>257-264</u>



# Acute HIV and Symptoms

	Schacker	Kinloch-de Loes	<u>NC STD</u>
Fever	93%	87%	48%
Fatigue	93	26	37
Pharyngitis	70	48	30
Headache	55	39	26
Rash			15
GI Symptoms			37

Schacker TW, et al., AIM 1996 125:257-64

# **Common Mis-diagnoses**

- Mononucleosis
- Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever
- Strep throat
- Influenza
- "Viral illness"
- Secondary syphilis

### AHI Syndrome and Medical Evaluation

- 78% (25/31) with symptoms 3 mo. Prior to 1<sup>st</sup> positive test
- 65%( 20/31) sought medical evaluation
- 50% (10/20) went to ED or Urgent Care 20% (4/20) went to private MD
- 30% (6/20) Dx bacterial infection 30% (6/20) Dx viral syndrome 15% (3/20) Dx AHI
- 18.8% (6/31) aware of AHI prior to Dx



HIV test	Assay method	"Window period" estimates, weeks"	"Window perio seduction, days
First-generation BA	Viral particles used to bind patient HIV Ab, detected by marker conjugated to anti-human Ab	-6	
Second-generation EIA	Same as first-generation EIA except uses purified HIV Ag or re- combinant virus	-4-6	10
Third-generation EIA	"Antigen sandwich": synthetic peptide used to bind patient HIV Ab followed by marker conjugated to additional HIV Ag; able to detect IgM	-3-4	6
Fourth-generation EIA	Uses third-generation EIA methodology plus monoclonal Ab to p24 Ag to detect patient p24 Ag	-2	6
Pooled HIV NAT	First combines multiple individual samples into one common pool, then uses PCR or other amplification techniques to de- tect patient viral nucleic acids	<1-2	3
Individual HIV NAT	As above, except that samples are tested individually rather than diluted by pooling	<1-2	э



# **Rationale for Acute HIV Diagnosis**

- Most Infectious period and Dx often missed
- Individual Perspective
  - Improve prognosis with acute treatment???? Lowering of viral set point Preservation of CD4 T cells Decrease in rate of progression Long-term control of HIV viremia Viral eradication
  - Early entry into care
  - Short-term behavioral change results in large benefit
  - Management of STIs

# **Rational for AHI Diagnosis**

#### Public Health

- Recognized previously missed infections

- Avoid transmission to partners with risk reduction
   10-100 fold increased transmission risk x 3-6 months
   May be responsible for 14-50% of all transmission of HIV
   Ouebec AHI/PHI <10% of infection but -50% transmission</li>
- Networks leading edge of transmission -Identify Transmission networks for intervention
  - -prevent secondary transmission by contact tracing and counseling to modify risk behaviors at risk partners

- Geographic focus

Brenner BG, et al, JID 2007:195









#### HIV Stage Progression based on 51 Seroconverting Plasma Donors

Fiebig stage classification for sub-stages of HIV-1 primary infection, and the average and cumulative duration of each phase.

Stage	Duration of each phase (days)	Cumulative duration (days)
Eclipse	10 (7,21)	10 (7,21)
I (vRNA+)	7 (5,10)	17 (13,28)
II (p24Ag+)	5 (4.8)	22 (18,34)
III (ELISA+)	3 (2.5)	25 (22,37)
IV (Western Blot ±)	6 (4,8)	31 (27,43)
V (Western Blot +, p31-)	70 (40,122)	101 (71,154)
VI (Western Blot +, p31+)	Open-ended	

#### Incidence Rate / Window Period (WP) Model Allows Prediction of Test Yields for Direct HIV (p24 Ag, HIV RNA) vs Antibody Assays

Test Yield (per unit) =

Incidence Rate (person-years) x Decrease in WP (fraction of year)

H H	Projecta P and II IIV-1/2	ed WP D NAT EIA A of	Closure and Yield of p24 Ag, FAssays Relative to a Sensitive Antibody Test in the Detection WP HIV Infection
ssay	Sensitivit	WP	Yield, WP HIV Infections per 1,000 Persons Tested

e        [Representative Incidence Rate / Person-Years]          Biood Donors        STD Clinic          Implied (days)        Biood Donors          [2 / 100,000 =        [1 / 1,000 = 0.1%]          p24 Ag        10,000        6          MP NAT        1,000        9          ID NAT        50        13		У	Closur	Various	s Screening Settings	
Image: Image shows and the image shows and			е	[Representative ]	ncidence Rate / Pers	son-Years ]
mL]        [days]        [2/100,000 = 0.002%]        [1/1,000 = 0.1%]        Clinic [1/10 = 10%]          p24 Ag        10,000        6        0.00033        0.016        1.6          MP NAT        1,000        9        0.00049        0.025        2.5          ID NAT        50        13        0.00071        0.036        3.6		[gEq /		Blood Donors	STD Clinic	High Risk
0.002% ]        ]        [1 / 10 = 10%]          p24 Ag        10.000        6        0.00033        0.016        1.6          MP NAT        1.000        9        0.00049        0.025        2.5          ID NAT        50        13        0.00071        0.036        3.6		mL]	[days]	[ 2 / 100,000 =	[ 1 / 1,000 = 0.1%	Clinic
p24 Ag        10,000        6        0.00033        0.016        1.6          MP NAT        1,000        9        0.00049        0.025        2.5          ID NAT        50        13        0.00071        0.036        3.6				0.002% ]	]	[ 1 / 10 = 10% ]
MP NAT        1,000        9        0.00049        0.025        2.5          ID NAT        50        13        0.00071        0.036        3.6	p24 Ag	10,000	6	0.00033	0.016	1.6
ID NAT 50 13 0.00071 0.036 3.6	MP NAT	1,000	9	0.00049	0.025	2.5
	ID NAT	50	13	0.00071	0.036	3.6

Fiebig et al. AIDS, 17:1871-9, 2003





# Macaque/SIV model

- SIV infection in macaques considered excellent model for HIV in humans for both transmission and pathogenesis research
- "Donor" monkeys repeatedly exposed by intravaginal inoculation of infectious plasma
- Samples taken pre-infection, "blip". Immediately pre-rampup, ramp-up and set-point
- Samples "transfused" into naïve "recipient" monkeys

High Specific Infectivity of Plasma Virus from the Pre-Ramp-Up and Ramp-Up Stages of Acute Simian Immunodeficiency Virus Infection<sup>9</sup> Zhong-Min Ma,<sup>12</sup> Mars Stone,<sup>12</sup> Mike Platak, Jr.,<sup>2</sup> Becky Schweighardt,<sup>4</sup> Nancy L. Haigwood,<sup>2</sup> David Montefiori,<sup>6</sup> Jeffrey D. Lifson,<sup>3</sup> Michael P. Busch,<sup>28</sup> and Christopher J. Miller<sup>1,23,4</sup> Journal of Virology 83; 3288-3297,<sup>1</sup>2





#### Slide 22

#### AS1 Reference? Adonis Stassinopoulos, 3/20/2009







#### HIV-1 Transmission, by Stage of Infection T. Déirdre Hollingsworth, Roy M. Anderson, and Christophe Fraser able 2. Calculation of the basic re ber ( $R_0$ ), according to the contribution from each stage of HIV-1 under 2 extremes of sexual behavior ection, No. (%) of new transmissions, by sexual behavior<sup>b</sup> Duration of high infectiousness d)/interval between seroconversi and death\* (%), mean, years Hazard of transe (B) per person-year Serial monogamy Random mixin 2.76 0.106 0.24/10.2 (2) 0.67 (31) 0.10(9) 8.38<sup>-/</sup>10.2 (82) 0.75<sup>-//</sup>10.2 (16) 0.91 (42) 0.57 (27) 0.760 0.21 (20) 1.09 (100) 2.15 (100) 1/(B+c+ re cis 1,25 p ng is Bd la n of zi to the period 10-19 m mediately before death

Slide 25

#### AS2 Reference? Adonis Stassinopoulos, 3/20/2009







## PCR Testing of Pooled Sera to Identify Acute HIV Infection (seronegative, PCR positive)

Program	Population	Prevalence HIV RNA+/EIA-	Increase in Testing Yield
New York City	NYC 3 STD Clinics		15%*
North Carolina	All persons tested for HIV via North Carolina DOH	23/109,250 (0.02%)	4%
Public-Health Seattle & King County	Men who have sex with men tested through PHSKC	21/5995 (0.35%)	13.5%
San Diego	Community based testing	15/3151 (0.48%)	23%
San Francisco	SF STD Clinic Patients	11/2722 (0.40%)	10.5%
Los Angeles	Men tested in 3 STD Clinics	1/1698 (0.06%)	7.1%
Maryland (not Baltimore)	STD clinics	0/15000	0
Atlanta	STD clinics, community testing and drug treatment	4/2128 (0.19%)	5%



#### NAT Specimen pooling

 Advantages
 Seamless (almost) incorporation into HIV testing
 Reduced cost No real change in specificity Universal application

Disadvantages Requires large testing volume Small loss in sensitivity Logistics\_ Time to Dx and locating patient

#### Advantages of p24 Ag and 4th generation EIAs

- Current '4<sup>th</sup> generation' EIAs can detect both p24 Ag and antibody on a single assay
- Could be used as the initial HIV screening test
- p24 Ag EIAs nearly as sensitive as HIV RNA testing for acute HIV infeciton
- Sensitivity of 4<sup>th</sup> generation EIAs is now equivalent to heat p24 assays



#### 4th gen HIV Ag/Ab Combo considerations / conclusions

- Can detect infection in antibody-negative individuals
- Viral load cutoff may be about 14,000 31,000 RNA copies / ml
- Can be used as a replacement for RNA testing, would detect ~90% of Ab-/RNA+ detected by RNA pooling
- Shorter time to Dx , potential for better PPV, and lower cost than RNA pooling

How does a 4<sup>th</sup> Generation IA (HIV Ag/Ab Combo) perform on the recent / acute infection panel?

- Detects 57 / 64 positively (89%)
   (3<sup>rd</sup> gen detected 42%)
- Of the 29 "recently infected" specimens: 29/29 (100%)
  - (3<sup>rd</sup> gen detected 93%)
    (Uni-gold Rapid: 76%)
- Of the 35 "acute" specimens (RNA pos, completely Ab negative: 28/35 (80%)

# **HIV TESTING ALGORITHMS STATUS REPORT** A

A PUBLICATION FROM THE ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES AND THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION

233

#### APRIL 2009

Berry Bennett, MPH, Florida Bureau of Laboratories Berry Bennett, MPH, Florida Bureau of Laboratories Bernard Branson, MD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Kevin Delaney, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Michele Owen, PhD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Michael Pentella, PhD, O(ABMM), University of Iowa Barbara Werner, PhD, Massachusetts Department of Public Health

A1: 4th generation HIV-1/2 assay A1(-) Negative for HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies and p24 Ag A1+ A2 HIV-1/HIV-2 differentiation assay HIV-1 + Positive for HIV-1 antibodies HIV-1&2 (-) HIV-2 + Positive for HIV-2 antibodies Initiate care NAAT Initiate care (and viral load) NAAT+ Acute HIV-1 infection Initiate care NAAT (-) Negative for HIV-1

#### **Confirmatory Testing**

- Confirmatory test is essential (not just a single EIA)
- For Western blot:
  - Venipuncture for whole blood
  - Oral fluid specimen
- Follow-up testing of persons with negative or indeterminate Western blot results after 4 weeks
- HIV RNA or 4<sup>th</sup> gen test for suspected acute HIV
- A single positive EIA test is not reportable but confirmation is covered under Ryan White for billing

## **Rapid HIV Antibody Tests**

- Advantages
  - Results in 10 20 minutes
  - "Preliminary positive"
  - Better linkage to care
  - Less labor ?, no instrument maintenance
- Disadvantages
  - False positives especially pregnant women
  - Through put
  - Setting for Confedentiality
  - Cost?

#### **Rapid HIV Antibody Tests**

- Other important issues
  - Specimen type oral fluid, serum, plasma, whole blood, dried blood spot
  - Who will perform rapid test? POCT by nursing staff? Physicians?
  - Waived testing?
  - Laboratory-based testing

  - How meet licensing and accrediting agency requirements?
  - ED "fix what is broken"

#### **Cost Rapid HIV tests**

The mean per-test cost of rapid HIV testing

and counseling:

- \$48.07 for an HIV-neg. test
- \$64.17 for a preliminary-positive test
- Pre- and posttest counseling costs accounted for 38.4% of the total cost Pinkerton et al. AIDS and Patient Care and STDS 2010

# **Rapid HIV Antibody Tests**

- Ability to detect acute infection (n=42)
- 3<sup>rd</sup> generation EIA detected 34% of RNA positive specimens
- Unigold 26%
- Multispot 17%
- OraQuick 2.3%
- Clearview 2.3%
- Western Blot 0%
- Combo assay 80% (n=35)

#### **Detection of Acute HIV Infection**

- Important public health issue
- Identifying AHI may decrease HIV transmission
- Earlier treatment with HAART
- Earlier linkage to care
- Most useful in high risk setting i.e. STD clinic, EDs and MSM populations

#### What to consider

- AHI important at individual and population level
- Consider panels for acute viral illness that would include testing for AHI
- 4<sup>th</sup> generation assays provide faster alternative for Dx AHI
- Important to screen for AHI in STD clinics and with MSM populations

# ACUTE







Common Symptoms of Acute HIV:

tter exposure s You At Risk?



Acute HIV, get tested at your Local Health Department or at your doctor's office IV is done on all HIV tests done through the NC Health Departments

UNC SPREAD THE WORD - NOT HIV COMPANY